arXiv:1608.04975v1 [physics.flu-dyn] 16 Aug 2016

INCOMPRESSIBLE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS: EXAMPLE OF NO SOLUTION AT R^3 AND $t = 0$

DEJAN KOVACEVIC

ABSTRACT. We provide an example of a smooth, divergence-free $\nabla \cdot \vec{u}(\vec{x}) = 0$ velocity vector field $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ for incompressible fluid occupying all of R^3 space, and smooth vector field $\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t)$ for which the Navier-Stokes equation for incompressible fluid does not have a solution for any position in space $\vec{x} \in R^3$ at $t = 0$. The velocity vector field $u_i(\vec{x}) = 2\frac{x_{h(i-1)} - x_{h(i+1)}}{\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right)^2}$; $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$ where $h(l) = \begin{cases} l & ; 1 \leq l \leq 3 \\ 1 & ; l = 4 \\ 3 & ; l = 0 \end{cases}$ is smooth, divergence-free, continuously differentiable $u(\vec{x}) \in C^\infty$, has bounded energy $\int_{R^3} |\vec{u}|^2 \, dx = \pi^2$, zero velocity at coordinate origin, and velocity converges to zero for $|\vec{x}| \to \infty$. The vector field $\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t) = (0, 0, \frac{1}{1+t^2(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j)^2})$ is smooth, continuously differentiable $f(\vec{x}, t) \in C^\infty$, converging to zero for $|\vec{x}| \to \infty$. Applying $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ and $\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t)$ in the Navier-Stokes equation for incompressible fluid results with three mutually different solutions for pressure $p(\vec{x}, t)$, one of which includes zero division with zero $\frac{0}{0}$ term at $t = 0$, which is indeterminate for all positions $\vec{x} \in R^3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

An important and still unresolved problem in fluid dynamics is the question of global regularity in three dimensional Euclidian R^3 space, utilizing Navier-Stokes equation for incompressible fluid:

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla)\vec{u} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho} + \nu \Delta \vec{u} + \vec{f}$$

for $\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$ at any position in space $\vec{x} \in R^3$ at any time $t \geq 0$. Fluid velocity, a physical quantity representing the ratio between fluid parcel spatial position change and the increment of elapsed time, satisfying realistic boundary conditions- could conceivably develop singularity in finite time. The literature refers to such phenomenon as a "blow-up", as, over some finite time, a mathematical representation of fluid velocity and its derivatives, could reach values corresponding to physically unreasonable results. As per the Clay Mathematics Institute official existence and smoothness of the Navier-Stokes equation problem statement: *"A fundamental problem in analysis is to decide whether such smooth,* ***physically reasonable*** *solutions exist for the NavierStokes equations"*. In addition, it is not known if a smooth, divergence-fee vector field $\vec{u}^0(\vec{x})$ and smooth $\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t)$ exist, for which there exist no solutions for pressure $p(\vec{x}, t)$ and given velocity vector field $\vec{u}$ at any position in space $\vec{x} \in R^3$ at $t = 0$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 76D05, Secondary 35Q30.

Key words and phrases. Navier-Stokes, Clay Mathematics Institute, Incompressible Fluid.

In this paper we share specific example of the fluid velocity vector field $\vec{u}^0(\vec{x}) = \vec{u}(\vec{x})$ for fluid occupying all of R^3 space, as well as the $\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t)$ vector field, for which we prove that the Navier-Stokes equation for incompressible fluid does not have a solution at any position in space $\vec{x} \in R^3$ at $t = 0$.
We present single theorem which encapsulates the following approach and results:
Definition of vector fields: The theorem statement includes definition of fluid velocity vector field as:

$$u_i(\vec{x}) = 2\frac{x_{h(i-1)} - x_{h(i+1)}}{\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right)^2}$$

for $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$ where $h(l)$ is defined as:

$$h(l) = \begin{cases} l & ; 1 \leq l \leq 3 \\ 1 & ; l = 4 \\ 3 & ; l = 0 \end{cases}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$.


Surfaces representing positions in space with the same scalar velocity

Also, we define external force related vector field as:

$$\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t) = (0, 0, \frac{1}{1 + t^2(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j)^2})$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$ and $t \geq 0$.
Analysis of $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ vector field: Starting from the $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ definition, we analyze the fluid velocity vector field:

- Proving that the velocity vector field $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ is divergence free $\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = \vec{0}$.
- Integrating square of fluid scalar velocity $|\vec{u}|^2$ over the whole R^3 space in order to verify energy as bounded and finite. The result of integration is $\int_{R^3} |\vec{u}|^2 \, dx = \pi^2$, which is constant.
- Proving that velocity vector field $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ is continuously differentiable $\vec{u}(\vec{x}) \in C^\infty$.
- Deriving the general form of the partial derivative $\frac{\partial^\alpha \vec{u}}{\partial x_k^\alpha}$ of any order α, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$.
- Proving that the partial derivative $\frac{\partial^\alpha \vec{u}}{\partial x_k^\alpha}$ of any order α, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, is zero vector at coordinate origin $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ and that it converges to zero vector when $|\vec{x}| \to \infty$.
- Proving that partial derivative $\frac{\partial^\alpha \vec{u}}{\partial x_k^\alpha}$ of any order α, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$ must be finite $\left|\frac{\partial^\alpha \vec{u}}{\partial x_k^\alpha}\right| \leq C_\alpha$ for $C_\alpha \in R$, for any position in space $\vec{x} \in R^3$.

Analysis of $\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t)$ vector field: We perform an analysis for the external force vector field $\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t)$:

- Proving that vector field $\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t)$ is continuously differentiable $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in C^\infty$.
- Deriving $\deg_x(\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}\vec{f})$ of any order α, m
- Deriving $\deg_t(\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}\vec{f})$ of any order α, m
- Proving that the partial derivative $\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}\vec{f}$ of any order α, m is zero vector at the coordinate origin $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ and that it converges to zero vector when $|\vec{x}| \to \infty$.

Appllication of $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ and $\vec{f}(\vec{x},t)$ in the Navier-Stokes equation and solving for pressure $p(\vec{x},t)$: We apply the velocity vector field $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ and $\vec{f}(\vec{x},t)$ in the Navier-Stokes equation for incompressible fluid, obtaining the following results:

- We apply $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ and $\vec{f}(\vec{x},t)$ to each applicable term of the Navier-Stokes equation, and we re-arrange the equation so that $\frac{\nabla p}{\rho}$ is the only term on one side of the resulting equation.
- As $\nabla p = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3})p$, we integrate the resulting vector field components for x_1,x_2,x_3 expecting that the resulting pressure $p(\vec{x},t)$ must be the same for all three integrations performed.
- Once the three integrations are performed for x_1,x_2,x_3, we obtain the three mutually different results for pressure $p(\vec{x},t)$ for all positions in space $\vec{x} \in R^3$ and for $t \geq 0$. In addition, one of the resulting pressure solutions includes the term $\frac{ArcTan(t(x_1+x_2+x_3))}{t}$ which at $t = 0$ evaluates to $\frac{0}{0}$, which is indeterminate for all positions in space $\vec{x} \in R^3$ at $t = 0$.

Conclusion: Based on the analysis performed and the obtained results, we conclude that for fluid velocity $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ and $\vec{f}(\vec{x},t)$ vector fields as specified, the Navier-Stokes equation for incompressible fluid does not have a solution for all positions in space $\vec{x} \in R^3$ at $t = 0$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\vec{u}(\vec{x}) = (u_i(\vec{x}))_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \in R^3$ be divergence-free $\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$ velocity vector field for incompressible fluid $\rho = const$ occupying all of R^3 space, where $p(\vec{x},t) \in R$ represents fluid pressure and $\vec{f}(\vec{x},t)$ represents the vector field related to the external force applied on fluid, for any position $\vec{x} \in R^3$ in space at $t \geq 0$. Than the Navier-Stokes equation for incompressible fluid*

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla)\vec{u} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho} + \nu \Delta \vec{u} + \vec{f}$$

does not have solution *for all positions in space $\vec{x} \in R^3$ at $t = 0$, for vector fields $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ and $\vec{f}(\vec{x},t)$ defined as:*

$$u_i(\vec{x}) = 2\frac{x_{h(i-1)} - x_{h(i+1)}}{\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right)^2} \quad ; i = \{1,2,3\}$$

where $h(l)$ is defined as

$$h(l) = \begin{cases} l & ; 1 \leq l \leq 3 \\ 1 & ; l = 4 \\ 3 & ; l = 0 \end{cases}$$

and vector field $\vec{f}(\vec{x},t)$ defined as

$$\vec{f}(\vec{x},t) = (0, 0, \frac{1}{(1 + t^2(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j)^2)})$$

Proof. In order to simplify the manipulation of equations, let us define

$$d_i = x_{h(i-1)} - x_{h(i+1)} \tag{1.1}$$

for $i = \{1,2,3\}$ and

$$S = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2 = 1 + |\vec{x}|^2 \tag{1.2}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$. Once (1.1) and (1.2) are applied to the statement for fluid velocity vector field $u_i(\vec{x})$ as defined by the theorem statement:

$$u_i(\vec{x}) = 2\frac{x_{h(i-1)} - x_{h(i+1)}}{\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right)^2} \tag{1.3}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3; i = \{1, 2, 3\}$, vector field components can be represented as:

$$u_i(\vec{x}) = 2\frac{d_i}{S^2} \tag{1.4}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3; i = \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Validation of $\nabla \cdot \vec{u}(\vec{x}) = 0$

Let us verify the velocity vector field $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ as divergence-free. The divergence of the velocity vector field can be expressed as

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} \tag{1.5}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3; i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Applying (1.4) in (1.5):

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(2\frac{d_i}{S^2}\right) \tag{1.6}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{2}{S^4} \left(\frac{\partial d_i}{\partial x_i} S^2 - d_i 2S \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_i}\right) \tag{1.7}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3; i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let us analyze $\frac{\partial d_i}{\partial x_i}$ within the brackets of the statement (1.7):

$$\frac{\partial d_i}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(x_{h(i-1)} - x_{h(i+1)}) \tag{1.8}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3; i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. As the indices $h(i-1) \neq i$ and $h(i+1) \neq i$ in partial differentiation in statement (1.8) are always different compared to the index i, then both partial differentiations must be zero:

$$\frac{\partial x_{h(i-1)}}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial x_{h(i+1)}}{\partial x_i} = 0 \tag{1.9}$$

for $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Applying (1.9) in (1.8):

$$\frac{\partial d_i}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(x_{h(i-1)} - x_{h(i+1)}) = 0 \tag{1.10}$$

for $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Applying (1.10) in (1.7):

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{4d_i}{S^3} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_i} \tag{1.11}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3; i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. As per the definition of S by statement (1.2), let us derive $\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_i}$:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial x_j^2}{\partial x_i} = 2x_i \tag{1.12}$$

for $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Applying (1.12) in (1.11):

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = -\frac{8}{S^3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} d_i x_i \tag{1.13}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3; i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Applying (1.1) in (1.13) and following expanding:

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = -\frac{8}{S^3}(2(x_3 - x_2)x_1 + 2(x_1 - x_3)x_2 + 2(x_2 - x_1)x_3)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = -\frac{8}{S^3}(2x_3x_1 - 2x_2x_1 + 2x_1x_2 - 2x_3x_2 + 2x_2x_3 - 2x_1x_3) = 0$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0 \tag{1.14}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$, which proves that $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ is divergence-free for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$.

Validation of $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ continuity, convergence to zero at infinity, zero at coordinate origin

Based on the definition of the fluid velocity vector field $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$, as per the theorem statement, we can conclude that vector field $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ does not have singularity at any position in space $\vec{x} \in R^3$. The denominator for each of the velocity vector field components $\{u_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}$

$$\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right)^2 \geq 1 \tag{1.15}$$

for $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$ is positive definite for any position $\vec{x} \in R^3$. The vector field $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ at infinity converges to zero vector as:

$$\deg_x(\vec{u}(\vec{x})) = \deg_x(\frac{x_{h(i-1)} - x_{h(i+1)}}{\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right)^2}) = 1 - 4 = -3$$

$$\lim_{|\vec{x}| \to \infty} \vec{u}(\vec{x}) = \vec{0} \tag{1.16}$$

Also, at the coordinate origin the velocity vector field is zero vector:

$$\vec{u}(\vec{0}) = \vec{0} \tag{1.17}$$

Based on statements (1.16) and (1.17), we can could be conclude that fluid velocity vector field is zero vector at the coordinate origin, and converges to zero vector when the position converges to infinity.

Validation of bounded energy for $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$

Let us evaluate whether the fluid velocity vector field $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ has bounded energy by integrating the square of its scalar value $|\vec{u}(\vec{x})|^2$ across all of R^3 space. Square of scalar value of $\vec{u}$ can be represented as:

$$|\vec{u}(\vec{x})|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} u_i^2 \tag{1.18}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3; i = \{1,2,3\}$. Once the vector field components u_i are applied to statement (1.18), as per the definition of this theorem:

$$|\vec{u}(\vec{x})|^2 = \left(2\frac{(x_{h(1-1)} - x_{h(1+1)})}{(1+\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2)^2}\right)^2 + \left(2\frac{(x_{h(2-1)} - x_{h(2+1)})}{(1+\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2)^2}\right)^2 + \left(2\frac{(x_{h(3-1)} - x_{h(3+1)})}{(1+\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2)^2}\right)^2$$

$$|\vec{u}(\vec{x})|^2 = 4\frac{(x_{h(0)} - x_{h(2)})^2}{(1+\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2)^4} + 4\frac{(x_{h(1)} - x_{h(3)})^2}{(1+\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2)^4} + 4\frac{(x_{h(2)} - x_{h(4)})^2}{(1+\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2)^4}$$

$$|\vec{u}(\vec{x})|^2 = 4\frac{(x_3 - x_2)^2 + (x_1 - x_3)^2 + (x_2 - x_1)^2}{(1+\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2)^4}$$

$$|\vec{u}(\vec{x})|^2 = 8\frac{x_1^2 - x_1x_2 + x_2^2 - x_2x_3 + x_3^2 - x_3x_1}{(1+\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2)^4} \tag{1.19}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$. Let us integrate the square of the scalar function $|\vec{u}(\vec{x})|^2$ across all of R^3 space:

$$\int_{R^3} |\vec{u}(\vec{x})|^2 \, dx = \int_{R^3} 8\frac{x_1^2 - x_1x_2 + x_2^2 - x_2x_3 + x_3^2 - x_3x_1}{(1+\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2)^4} dx \tag{1.20}$$

Once integration in statement (1.20) is performed, result is constant:

$$\int_{R^3} |\vec{u}|^2 \, dx = \pi^2 \tag{1.21}$$

As per (1.21), the result of integration is constant $\pi^2 = Const.$ Based on this, we can conclude that the fluid total kinetic energy, is constant across all of R^3 space.

Validation that vector field $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ is continuously differentiable

In this section, we confirm that the fluid velocity vector field $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ is continuously differentiable. Let us apply the partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$ to the fluid velocity vector field $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ components u_i:

$$\frac{\partial u_i(\vec{x})}{\partial x_k} = \lim_{dx_k \to 0} \frac{u_i(\vec{x} + dx_k) - u_i(\vec{x})}{dx_k} \tag{1.22}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1,2,3\}$, $i = \{1,2,3\}$. Let us introduce the function $g(j,k) \in \{0,1\}$, such that it has value 1 in case that the index $j \in \{1,2,3\}$ is passed to it as a parameter, is equal to the index $k \in \{1,2,3\}$ of x_k; otherwise, it is 0:

$$g(j,k) = \begin{cases} 0 & ; j \neq k \\ 1 & ; j = k \end{cases} \tag{1.23}$$

for $k \in \{1,2,3\}$; $j \in \{1,2,3\}$. Once the vector field components u_i, as defined by this theorem statement, are applied to the statement (1.22) together with (1.23), statement (1.22) can be equivalently represented as:

(1.24)

$$\frac{\partial u_i(\vec{x})}{\partial x_k} = \lim_{dx_k \to 0} \frac{2\frac{(x_{h(i-1)} + g(h(i-1),k)dx_k) - (x_{h(i+1)} + g(h(i+1),k)dx_k)}{\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{3}(x_j + g(j,k)dx_k)^2\right)^2} - 2\frac{x_{h(i-1)} - x_{h(i+1)}}{\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right)^2}}{dx_k}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1,2,3\}$, $i = \{1,2,3\}$. For terms in the brackets of statement (1.24):

$$x_{h(i-1)} + g(h(i-1),k)dx_k$$

for $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Function $g(h(i-1), k)$ would have value 1 in case when $h(i-1) = k$, so the resulting statement in that scenario would become:

$$x_{h(i-1)} + dx_k$$

for $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Otherwise, when $h(i-1) \neq k$ function $g(j, k)$ would return zero, and the result would be

$$x_{h(i-1)}$$

for $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$ Once we apply the same principle for the other two pairs of terms in the second brackets of statement (1.24):

$$(x_{h(i+1)} + g(h(i+1))dx_k)$$

for $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$. as well as for denominator:

$$\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} (x_j + g(j, k)dx_k)^2\right)^2 \tag{1.25}$$

for $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, we can conclude that the resulting statement (1.24) will include dx_k for a proper and matching x_j, for which indices are the same $j = k$, in order to form a correct statement for partial differentiation of $u_i(\vec{x})$ by x_k. Therefore, in statement (1.25) dx_k will be included in case when $j = k$ for which $g(j, k) = 1$. With that, we expand and rearrange statement (1.25), which could be equivalently represented as:

$$\left(2x_k dx_k + dx_k^2 + 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right)^2 \tag{1.26}$$

for $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Applying (1.2)in (1.25):

$$\left(S + (2x_k dx_k + dx_k^2)\right)^2 \tag{1.27}$$

for $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let us expand (1.27):

$$S^2 + dx_k(4Sx_k + dx_k(2S + 4x_k^2 + 4x_k dx_k + dx_k^2)) \tag{1.28}$$

Applying (1.28) in (1.24)

(1.29)

$$\frac{\partial u_i(\vec{x})}{\partial x_k} = \lim_{dx_k \to 0} \frac{1}{dx_k} 2 \left(\frac{(x_{h(i-1)} + g(h(i-1), k)dx_k) - (x_{h(i+1)} + g(h(i+1), k)dx_k)}{S^2 + dx_k(4Sx_k + dx_k(2S + 4x_k^2 + 4x_k dx_k + dx_k^2))} - \frac{x_{h(i-1)} - x_{h(i+1)}}{S^2} \right)$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. After applying common denominator and simplifying statement (1.29):

$$\frac{\partial u_i(\vec{x})}{\partial x_k} = \lim_{dx_k \to 0} \frac{2((g(h(i-1), k) - g(h(i+1), k)))S^2}{(S^2 + dx_k(4Sx_k + dx_k(2S + 4x_k^2 + 4x_k dx_k + dx_k^2)))S^2} - \tag{1.30}$$

$$- \frac{(4Sx_k + dx_k(2S + 4x_k^2 + 4x_k dx_k + dx_k^2))(x_{h(i-1)} - x_{h(i+1)})}{(S^2 + dx_k(4Sx_k + dx_k(2S + 4x_k^2 + 4x_k dx_k + dx_k^2)))S^2}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Once limit for $dx_k \to 0$ is applied:

$$\frac{\partial u_i(\vec{x})}{\partial x_k} = \frac{2((g(h(i-1), k) - g(h(i+1), k)))S^2 - 8Sx_k(x_{h(i-1)} - x_{h(i+1)})}{S^4} \tag{1.31}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Depending on indices i and k, there are three scenarios:
1)For $k = i$ then $g(h(i-1), k) = 0$ and $g(h(i+1), k) = 0$. Applying this in (1.31):

$$\frac{\partial u_i(\vec{x})}{\partial x_k} = -\frac{8Sx_k(x_{h(i-1)} - x_{h(i+1)})}{S^4} \tag{1.32}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$.
2)For $k = i + 1$ then $g(h(i-1), k) = 0$ and $g(h(i+1), k) = 1$. Applying this in (1.31):

$$\frac{\partial u_i(\vec{x})}{\partial x_k} = -\frac{2S + 8x_k(x_{h(i-1)} - x_{h(i+1)})}{S^3} \tag{1.33}$$

3)For $k = i - 1$ then $g(h(i-1), k) = 1$ and $g(h(i+1), k) = 0$. Applying this in (1.31):

$$\frac{\partial u_i(\vec{x})}{\partial x_k} = \frac{2S - 8x_k(x_{h(i-1)} - x_{h(i+1)})}{S^3} \tag{1.34}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. In all three cases above (1.32), (1.33), (1.34), the denominators are in general form $S^n = \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right)^n$ for $n \in \{3, 4\}$, which is positive definite and must be greater or equal to 1

$$\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right)^n \geq 1 \tag{1.35}$$

for $n \in \{3, 4\}$. Statement (1.35) has minimal value at the coordinate origin

$$\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right)^n \Bigg|_{\vec{x}=\vec{0}} = 1 \tag{1.36}$$

Based on this, we can conclude that the velocity vector field $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ is continuously differentiable for first partial derivatives. As the denominator of the first partial derivative $\frac{\partial u_i(\vec{x})}{\partial x_k}$ is in form $S^n = \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right)^n$ for $n \in \{3, 2\}$, which has same form of denominator as the velocity vector field itself $S^2 = \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right)^2$. Repeating the same process of partial differentiation as above, we can conclude that for multiple partial derivatives $\frac{\partial^\alpha u_i(\vec{x})}{\partial x_k^\alpha}$, the resulting derivative's denominators must have the same general form $\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right)^n \geq 1$; $\{n > 2\} \in N$, which must be positive definite and cannot create singularities. In addition, partial differentiation can be repeated an unlimited number of times. We can therefore conclude that the velocity vector field $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ is continuously differentiable $u(\vec{x}) \in C^\infty$ for any position $\vec{x} \in R^3$.

Derivation of partial derivative $\frac{\partial^\alpha u_i}{\partial x_k^\alpha}$ of any order α

Let us explore partial derivatives of the velocity vector field $\vec{u}(\vec{x}) = (u_i(\vec{x}))_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \in R^3$ for any order α of partial differentiation by x_k ; $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$
First Partial Derivative:

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\left(2\frac{d_i}{S^2}\right) \tag{1.37}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} = \frac{2}{S^4}\left(\frac{\partial d_i}{\partial x_k}S^2 - d_i 2S\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_k}\right) \tag{1.38}$$

for $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1,2,3\}$, $i = \{1,2,3\}$. Per (1.1):

$$\frac{\partial d_i}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}x_{h(i-1)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}x_{h(i+1)} = \begin{cases} 1 & ;k = h(i-1) \\ -1 & ;k = h(i+1) \\ 0 & ;k = i \end{cases} \tag{1.39}$$

for $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1,2,3\}$, $i = \{1,2,3\}$. In the most general case, for the non-zero result when $k \neq i$, as per (1.39)

$$\frac{\partial d_i}{\partial x_k} = \pm 1 \tag{1.40}$$

once (1.40) and (1.12) are applied in (1.38)

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} = \pm\frac{2}{S^2} - 8\frac{d_i x_k}{S^3} \tag{1.41}$$

for $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1,2,3\}$, $i = \{1,2,3\}$. Once (1.2) is applied in (1.41)

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} = \pm\frac{2}{\left(1+|\vec{x}|^2\right)^2} - 8\frac{d_i x_k}{\left(1+|\vec{x}|^2\right)^3} \tag{1.42}$$

let us determine the degree for x of the first partial derivative:

$$\deg_x\left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k}\right) = \deg\left(\pm\frac{2}{\left(1+|\vec{x}|^2\right)^2} - 8\frac{d_i x_k}{\left(1+|\vec{x}|^2\right)^3}\right) \tag{1.43}$$

for $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1,2,3\}$, $i = \{1,2,3\}$.

$$\deg_x\left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k}\right) = -4 \tag{1.44}$$

for $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1,2,3\}$, $i = \{1,2,3\}$. Note that both terms in the brackets of (1.43) are of the same degree.

Second Partial Derivative: Continuing partial differentiation from (1.41):

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_k^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\left(\pm\frac{2}{S^2} - 8\frac{d_i x_k}{S^3}\right) \tag{1.45}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_k^2} = \pm\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\left(\frac{2}{S^2}\right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\left(8\frac{d_i x_k}{S^3}\right) \tag{1.46}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_k^2} = \mp\frac{4}{S^3}\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_k} - 8\frac{\partial d_i}{\partial x_k}\frac{x_k}{S^3} - 8d_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\left(\frac{x_k}{S^3}\right) \tag{1.47}$$

for $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1,2,3\}$, $i = \{1,2,3\}$. In the most general case, for non-zero result when $k \neq i$, as per (1.39)

$$\frac{\partial d_i}{\partial x_k} = \pm 1 \tag{1.48}$$

applying (1.48) in (1.44)

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_k^2} = \mp 8\frac{x_k}{S^3} \mp 8\frac{x_k}{S^3} - 8d_i\frac{1}{S^6}\left(\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial x_k}S^3 - x_k 3S^2\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_k}\right) \tag{1.49}$$

for $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Once (1.12) and (1.2) are applied in (1.49)

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_k^2} = \frac{\mp 16 x_k - 8 d_i}{\left(1 + |\vec{x}|^2\right)^3} + 48 \frac{d_i x_k^2}{\left(1 + |\vec{x}|^2\right)^4} \tag{1.50}$$

for $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. The degree of x for the second partial derivative, as per statement (1.50) is:

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_k^2}) = \deg(\frac{\mp 16 x_k - 8 d_i}{\left(1 + |\vec{x}|^2\right)^3} + 48 \frac{d_i x_k^2}{\left(1 + |\vec{x}|^2\right)^4}) \tag{1.51}$$

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_k^2}) = -5 \tag{1.52}$$

Note that both terms in the brackets of statement (1.50) are of the same degree for x. In case that the first partial derivative is by x_k and the second partial derivative is by x_j for which $j \neq k$, starting from statement (1.41):

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\pm \frac{2}{S^2} - 8 \frac{d_i x_k}{S^3} \right) \tag{1.53}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} = \pm \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{2}{S^2} \right) - 8 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{d_i x_k}{S^3} \right) \tag{1.54}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} = \mp \frac{4}{S^3} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_j} - \frac{8}{S^6} \left(\frac{\partial (d_i x_k)}{\partial x_j} S^3 - d_i x_k 3 S^2 \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_j} \right) \tag{1.55}$$

for $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $j \neq k$. As per (1.1):

$$\frac{\partial (d_i x_k)}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left((x_{h(i-1)} - x_{h(i+1)}) x_k \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(x_{h(i-1)} x_k - x_{h(i+1)} x_k \right) \tag{1.56}$$

There are three scenarios to consider: Scenario 1: $j = h(i-1)$:

$$\frac{\partial (d_i x_k)}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(x_j x_k - x_{h(i+1)} x_k \right) \tag{1.57}$$

$$\frac{\partial (d_i x_k)}{\partial x_j} = x_k \tag{1.58}$$

Scenario 2: $j = h(i+1)$:

$$\frac{\partial (d_i x_k)}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(x_{h(i-1)} x_k - x_j x_k \right) \tag{1.59}$$

$$\frac{\partial (d_i x_k)}{\partial x_j} = -x_k \tag{1.60}$$

Scenario 3: $j \neq h(i \pm 1) \wedge j \neq k$:

$$\frac{\partial (d_i x_k)}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(x_{h(i-1)} x_k - x_{h(i+1)} x_k \right) \tag{1.61}$$

$$\frac{\partial(d_i x_k)}{\partial x_j} = 0 \tag{1.62}$$

Based on the three scenarios (1.58), (1.60) and (1.62), statement (1.55) has the largest degree for x when

$$j = h(i \pm 1) \vee j = k \tag{1.63}$$

as otherwise, the result of differentiation by x_j is zero. As the scenario when $j = k$ is already covered with $\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_k^2}$ with statement (1.51), let us select scenario $j = h(i \pm 1)$ when as per (1.58) and (1.60)

$$\frac{\partial(d_i x_k)}{\partial x_j} = \pm x_k \tag{1.64}$$

once (1.65) and (1.12) are applied in statement (1.55)

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} = \mp \frac{4}{S^3} 2x_j - \frac{8}{S^6} \left(\pm x_k S^3 - 3 d_i x_k S^2 2x_j \right) \tag{1.65}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} = \mp \frac{16}{S^3} \left(x_j + x_k \right) + \frac{48}{S^4} d_i x_k x_j \tag{1.66}$$

for $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. The degree for x as per (1.66) is

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}) = \deg_x(\mp \frac{16}{S^3} \left(x_j + x_k \right) + \frac{48}{S^4} d_i x_k x_j) \tag{1.67}$$

As per (1.2) and (1.67):

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}) = -5 \tag{1.68}$$

for $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let us represent partial derivatives by x in a more generic fashion:

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha u_i}{\partial x^\alpha} \tag{1.69}$$

for $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Where α represents the order of partial derivative by α-tuple of x_i ;$i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Also, instead of specific numerical values that appear with various terms, let us define $C \in R$ such that it represents the generalized form of any constant value, representing numerical values which do not contribute to this analysis. Based on this, let us express the first partial derivative of velocity vector field components u_i;$i = \{1, 2, 3\}$, as per statement (1.42) in a more general form:

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x} = \frac{C}{\left(1 + |\vec{x}|^2\right)^2} + \frac{C}{\left(1 + |\vec{x}|^2\right)^3} x^2 \tag{1.70}$$

The second partial derivative, as per (1.67) can be represented as

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x^2} = \frac{C}{\left(1 + |\vec{x}|^2\right)^3} x + \frac{C}{\left(1 + |\vec{x}|^2\right)^4} x^3 \tag{1.71}$$

The third partial derivative can be represented as:

$$\frac{\partial^3 u_i}{\partial x^3} = C\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{x}{S^3}\right) + C\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{x^3}{S^4}\right) \tag{1.72}$$

$$\frac{\partial^3 u_i}{\partial x^3} = \frac{C}{S^6}\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial x}S^3 - x3S^2\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{C}{S^8}\left(3x^2S^4 - x^3 4S^3\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right) \tag{1.73}$$

once (1.12)is applied in (1.73)

$$\frac{\partial^3 u_i}{\partial x^3} = \frac{C}{S^6}\left(S^3 - 3xS^2 2x\right) + \frac{C}{S^8}\left(3x^2S^4 - 4x^3S^3 2x\right) \tag{1.74}$$

$$\frac{\partial^3 u_i}{\partial x^3} = \frac{C}{S^3} + \frac{C}{S^4}x^2 + \frac{C}{S^5}x^4 \tag{1.75}$$

for $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. The degree of x as per (1.75) is:

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^3 u_i}{\partial x^3}) = \deg_x(\frac{C}{S^3} + \frac{C}{S^4}x^2 + \frac{C}{S^5}x^4) \tag{1.76}$$

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^3 u_i}{\partial x^3}) = -6 \tag{1.77}$$

Notably, all terms in statement (1.76) are of the same degree. Let us perform one additional partial derivative. The fourth partial derivative can be represented as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial^3 u_i}{\partial x^3}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{C}{S^3} + \frac{C}{S^4}x^2 + \frac{C}{S^5}x^4\right) \tag{1.78}$$

$$\frac{\partial^4 u_i}{\partial x^4} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{C}{S^3} + \frac{C}{S^4}x^2 + \frac{C}{S^5}x^4\right) \tag{1.79}$$

The partial derivatives of each individual term of statement (1.79) in the brackets are:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{C}{S^3}\right) = -3\frac{C}{S^6}S^2\frac{\partial S}{\partial x} = \frac{C}{S^4}\frac{\partial S}{\partial x} == \frac{C}{S^4}2x = \frac{C}{S^4}x \tag{1.80}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{C}{S^4}x^2\right) = \frac{C}{S^8}2xS^4 - \frac{C}{S^8}x^2 4S^3\frac{\partial S}{\partial x} = \frac{C}{S^4}x - \frac{C}{S^5}4x^2 2x = \frac{C}{S^4}x + \frac{C}{S^5}x^3 \tag{1.81}$$

(1.82)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{C}{S^5}x^4\right) = \frac{C}{S^{10}}4x^3S^5 - \frac{C}{S^{10}}x^4 5S^4\frac{\partial S}{\partial x} = \frac{C}{S^5}x^3 - \frac{C}{S^6}x^4 2x = \frac{C}{S^5}x^3 + \frac{C}{S^6}x^5$$

Once all three partial derivatives as per statements (1.80), (1.81), (1.82) are summed up together:

$$\frac{\partial^4 u_i}{\partial x^4} = \frac{C}{S^4}x + \frac{C}{S^5}x^3 + \frac{C}{S^6}x^5 \tag{1.83}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$. The degree of x as per (1.83) is:

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^4 u_i}{\partial x^4}) = \deg_x(\frac{C}{S^4}x + \frac{C}{S^5}x^3 + \frac{C}{S^6}x^5) = -7 \tag{1.84}$$

Also, notably, all terms above are of the same degree.
Based on statements (1.44), (1.52), (1.77), (1.84), we can conclude that the α-th

order of the partial differential of the fluid velocity vector field component u_i by x can be expressed as:

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^\alpha u_i}{\partial x^\alpha}) = -\alpha - 3 \tag{1.85}$$

for any $\{\alpha > 0\} \in N$, $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Based on statements (1.44), (1.52), (1.77), (1.84), the partial differentiation by x of any order α can be represented as:

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha u_i}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{C}{\left(1 + |\vec{x}|^2\right)^{\lfloor \frac{|\alpha|}{2} \rfloor + 2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{|\alpha|-1}{2} \rfloor + 2} C \frac{x^{k_j}}{\left(1 + |\vec{x}|^2\right)^{m_j}} \tag{1.86}$$

for any $\{\alpha > 0\} \in N$, $x \in R^3; i = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and where x^{k_j} represents k_j - tuple of any of x_i ; $j = \{1, 2, 3\}$
Also, notably, that each of the terms in statement (1.86) are of the same degree:

$$\deg_x(C \frac{x^{k_j}}{\left(1 + |\vec{x}|^2\right)^{m_j}}) = -\alpha - 3 \tag{1.87}$$

Then, for each of the terms of sum in statement (1.86), limit for $|\vec{x}| \to \infty$:

$$\lim_{|\vec{x}| \to \infty} C \frac{x^{k_j}}{\left(1 + |\vec{x}|^2\right)^{m_j}} = 0 \tag{1.88}$$

At the coordinate origin $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, each of the terms of the sum in statement (1.86) is zero:

$$\left[C \frac{x^{k_j}}{\left(1 + |\vec{x}|^2\right)^{m_j}} \right]_{\vec{x} = \vec{0}} = 0 \tag{1.89}$$

Let us name $C_\alpha(i, j) \in R$ such that

$$C_\alpha(i, j) = C \frac{x^{k_j}}{\left(1 + |\vec{x}|^2\right)^{m_j}} \tag{1.90}$$

In order to verify that $C_\alpha(i, j)$ has to be finite, let us assume the opposite:

$$C_\alpha(i, j) = \infty \tag{1.91}$$

As per statement (1.88) we concluded that for $|\vec{x}| \to \infty$, the value of each term of statement (1.86) converges to zero, and at the coordinate origin, as per (1.88), each of the terms has value zero. Then, for any selected position $\vec{x} \in R^3$, each of the terms can be evaluated as infinite only in case that at least one of terms' denominator is equal to zero:

$$1 + |\vec{x}|^2 = 0 \tag{1.92}$$

However, $1 + |\vec{x}|^2$ is definite positive and cannot be zero. Its minimal value is 1. Based on this, we can conclude that statement (1.91) is impossible, and therefore

$C_\alpha(i,j)$ must be finite.
As $1+|\vec{x}|^2$ is definite positive than the first term of statement (1.86)

$$\frac{a_0}{\left(1+|\vec{x}|^2\right)^{\lfloor \frac{|\alpha|}{2} \rfloor +2}} \tag{1.93}$$

also has to be finite for any $\{\alpha > 0\} \in N$.
Based on these two conclusions, the sum of all terms of statement (1.86) must be finite as well:

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha u_i}{\partial x^\alpha} \neq \infty \tag{1.94}$$

Based on (1.94), there must be some finite $\{C_\alpha(i) \geq 0\} \in R$ such that

$$\left|\frac{\partial^\alpha u_i}{\partial x^\alpha}\right| = \left| \frac{a_0}{\left(1+|\vec{x}|^2\right)^{\lfloor \frac{|\alpha|}{2} \rfloor +2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{n(\alpha)} \frac{a_j x^{k_j}}{\left(1+|\vec{x}|^2\right)^{m_j}} \right| \leq C_\alpha(i); i = \{1,2,3\} \tag{1.95}$$

then for

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \vec{u}(\vec{x})| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \left|\frac{\partial^\alpha u_i(\vec{x})}{\partial x^\alpha}\right|^2} \tag{1.96}$$

as per (1.95) and (1.96)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \left|\frac{\partial^\alpha u_i(\vec{x})}{\partial x^\alpha}\right|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} C_\alpha(i) \tag{1.97}$$

based on this, there must exists $C_\alpha \in R$ such that

$$C_\alpha \geq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{3} C_\alpha(i)} \tag{1.98}$$

based on (1.98, (1.97) and (1.96):

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \vec{u}(\vec{x})| \leq C_\alpha \tag{1.99}$$

for any $\{\alpha > 0\} \in N$, $x \in R^3$.

Continuous differentiability of force field $\vec{f}(\vec{x},t)$
As per the theorem statement, f_3, the component of $\vec{f}$ is

$$f_3(\vec{x},t) = \frac{1}{1+t^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j\right)^2} \tag{1.100}$$

for any $x \in R^3$, $t \geq 0$. Let us define the denominator of statement (1.100) as:

$$B = 1 + t^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j\right)^2 \tag{1.101}$$

applying (1.101) in (1.100):

$$f_3(\vec{x},t) = \frac{1}{B} \tag{1.102}$$

Let us apply partial differential by t on (1.102):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_3(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{B} \tag{1.103}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_3(\vec{x}, t) = -\frac{1}{B^2} \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} \tag{1.104}$$

as per (1.101):

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(1 + t^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j \right)^2 \right) = 2t \left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j \right)^2 \tag{1.105}$$

applying (1.105)in (1.104):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_3(\vec{x}, t) = -\frac{2t}{B^2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j \right)^2 \tag{1.106}$$

Let us define

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j \tag{1.107}$$

applying (1.107)in (1.106):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_3(\vec{x}, t) = -\frac{2t}{B^2} H^2 \tag{1.108}$$

let us find the second partial derivative by t:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} f_3(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(-\frac{2t}{B^2} S H^2 \right) \tag{1.109}$$

as $H = \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j$ is not in the function of time:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} f_3(\vec{x}, t) = -2H^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{t}{B^2} \right) \tag{1.110}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} f_3(\vec{x}, t) = -2H^2 \frac{1}{B^4} \left(B^2 \frac{\partial t}{\partial t} - t2B \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} \right) \tag{1.111}$$

as per statements (1.101) and (1.107), $\frac{\partial B}{\partial t}$ is:

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = 2t \left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j \right)^2 = 2tH^2 \tag{1.112}$$

once (1.112) is applied in (1.111):

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} f_3(\vec{x}, t) = -2H^2 \frac{1}{B^4} \left(B^2 - t2B2tH^2 \right) \tag{1.113}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} f_3(\vec{x}, t) = -2H^2 \frac{1}{B^4} \left(B^2 - 4t^2 B H^2 \right) \tag{1.114}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} f_3(\vec{x}, t) = -2\frac{H^2}{B^2} + 8\frac{t^2 H^4}{B^3} \tag{1.115}$$

Similarly, the third partial derivative by t is:

$$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^3} f_3(\vec{x},t) = -48\frac{t^3H^6}{B^4} + 24\frac{tH^4}{B^3} \tag{1.116}$$

The general form of m-th partial derivative by t can be expressed as:

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} f_3(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor +1} (-1)^{\left\| m-\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2}+1 \right\rfloor +l \right\|_2} \frac{t^{\|m\|_2+2(l-1)} H^{2\left(m-\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2}+1 \right\rfloor +l\right)}}{B^{\left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor +l+1}} \tag{1.117}$$

for any $x \in R^3$, $t \geq 0$, where

$$h = \|m\|_2 + 2(l-1) \tag{1.118}$$

$$e = 2\left(m - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2}+1 \right\rfloor + l\right) \tag{1.119}$$

$$s = \left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor + l + 1 \tag{1.120}$$

once (1.118), (1.119), (1.120)are applied in (1.117):

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} f_3(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor +1} (-1)^{\left\| \frac{e}{2} \right\|_2} \frac{t^h S^e}{B^s} \tag{1.121}$$

for any $x \in R^3$, $t \geq 0$, $\{m > 0\} \in N$. In order to demonstrate that statement (1.121) correctly represents the partial derivation for any order of differentiation $\{m > 0\} \in N$, let us apply a few orders of partial derivatives by t using statement above:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_3(\vec{x},t) = -2\frac{tH^2}{B^2} \tag{1.122}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} f_3(\vec{x},t) = -2\frac{H^2}{B^2} + 8\frac{t^2H^4}{B^3} \tag{1.123}$$

$$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^3} f_3(\vec{x},t) = -48\frac{t^3H^6}{B^4} + 24\frac{tH^4}{B^3} \tag{1.124}$$

$$\frac{\partial^4}{\partial t^4} f_3(\vec{x},t) = 384\frac{t^4H^8}{B^5} - 288\frac{t^2H^6}{B^4} + 24\frac{H^4}{B^3} \tag{1.125}$$

$$\frac{\partial^5}{\partial t^5} f_3(\vec{x},t) = -3840\frac{t^5H^{10}}{B^6} + 3840\frac{t^3H^8}{B^5} - 720\frac{tH^6}{B^4} \tag{1.126}$$

Statements from (1.122) to (1.126) match the partial derivatives by t performed in incremental fashion on the basis of the previous order of the partial derivative, confirming that (1.121) represents the general form of partial derivative by t or any order $\{m > 0\} \in N$.

As in statement (1.121) B as per (1.101) is definite positive, then $\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} f_3(\vec{x},t)$ is continuous for any $\{m > 0\} \in N$ .As $\vec{f}(\vec{x},t) = (0,0,f_3)$ we can conclude that $\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}\vec{f}(\vec{x},t)$ is also continuous for any $\{m > 0\} \in N$. Therefore, we can conclude that the vector field $\vec{f}(\vec{x},t)$ is continuously differentiable $\vec{f}(\vec{x},t) \in C^\infty$ for any position $\vec{x} \in R^3$ and $t \geq 0$.

Determining $\deg_x(\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}\vec{f})$ and $\deg_t(\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}\vec{f})$

Let us start with an analysis of $\deg_x(\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}\vec{f})$. Per (1.121), expanding H and B as per (1.107) and (1.101):

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m}) = Max(\deg_x(\frac{t^k\left(\sum_{j=1}^3 x_j\right)^e}{\left(1+t^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^3 x_j\right)^2\right)^s}))_{l=\{1,\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\rfloor+1\}} \tag{1.127}$$

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m}) = Max(\deg_x(\frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^3 x_j\right)^e}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^3 x_j\right)^{2s}}))_{l=\{1,\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\rfloor+1\}} \tag{1.128}$$

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m}) = Max\left(e-2s\right)_{l=\{1,\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\rfloor+1\}} \tag{1.129}$$

expanding e and s, as per (1.119) and (1.120) in (1.129):

(1.130)

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m}) = Max\left(2\left(m-\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}+1\right\rfloor+l\right)-2(\left\lfloor\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rfloor+l+1)\right)_{l=\{1,\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\rfloor+1\}}$$

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m}) = Max\left(2m-2\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}+1\right\rfloor-2\left\lfloor\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rfloor-2\right)_{l=\{1,\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\rfloor+1\}} \tag{1.131}$$

Statement (1.131) is not in function of l, then (1.131) is equivalent to

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m}) = 2m-2\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}+1\right\rfloor-2\left\lfloor\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rfloor-2 \tag{1.132}$$

Let us evaluate statement (1.132) for a few consecutive values of m:

$$m=1 \Longrightarrow \deg_x(\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial t}) = 2-2-2 = -2$$

$$m=2 \Longrightarrow \deg_x(\frac{\partial^2 f_3}{\partial t^2}) = 4-4-2 = -2$$

$$m=3 \Longrightarrow \deg_x(\frac{\partial^3 f_3}{\partial t^3}) = 6-4-2-2 = -2$$

$$m=4 \Longrightarrow \deg_x(\frac{\partial^4 f_3}{\partial t^4}) = 8-6-2-2 = -2$$

$$m=5 \Longrightarrow \deg_x(\frac{\partial^5 f_3}{\partial t^5}) = 10-6-4-2 = -2$$

Therefore, for any order m of partial differentiations by time, the degree by x for $\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}f_3(\vec{x},t)$can be expressed as

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}f_3(\vec{x},t)) = -2 \tag{1.133}$$

for any $\{m>0\} \in N$.

As already concluded, the degree for x is not in function of l as used in sum of

statement (1.121) meaning that each of the terms $\frac{t^h H^e}{B^s}$ of the sum are of the same degree, or in other words:

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} f_3(\vec{x},t)) = \deg_x(\frac{t^h H^e}{B^s}) = -2 \tag{1.134}$$

As the degree for x is -2 regardless of m, then for $\vec{x}$ approaching infinity $|\vec{x}| \to \infty$

$$\lim_{|\vec{x}|\to\infty} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} f_3(\vec{x},t) = 0 \tag{1.135}$$

limit of m-th order of partial derivative by time must be equal to zero. Also, at the coordinate origin $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$:

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} f_3(\vec{x},t)|_{\vec{x}=\vec{0}} = \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1} (-1)^{\left\| \frac{e}{2} \right\|_2} \frac{t^h 0^e}{B^s} = 0 \tag{1.136}$$

m-th order of partial derivative by time is zero as well.
Now, let us check the degree of t as per statement (1.127):

$$\deg_t(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m}) = Max(\deg_t(\frac{t^h \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 x_j\right)^e}{\left(1 + t^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 x_j\right)^2\right)^s}))_{l=\{1,\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1\}} \tag{1.137}$$

$$\deg_t(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m}) = Max(\deg_t(\frac{t^h}{t^{2s}}))_{l=\{1,\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1\}} \tag{1.138}$$

expanding h and s, as per (1.118) and (1.120) in (1.138):

$$\deg_t(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m}) = Max(\deg_t(\frac{t^{\|m\|_2 + 2(l-1)}}{t^{2(\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor + l + 1)}}))_{l=\{1,\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1\}} \tag{1.139}$$

$$\deg_t(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m}) = Max(\|m\|_2 + 2(l-1) - 2(\left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor + l + 1))_{l=\{1,\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1\}} \tag{1.140}$$

$$\deg_t(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m}) = Max(\|m\|_2 + 2l - 2 - 2\left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor - 2l - 2)_{l=\{1,\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1\}} \tag{1.141}$$

$$\deg_t(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m}) = Max(\|m\|_2 - 2\left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor - 4)_{l=\{1,\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1\}} \tag{1.142}$$

As per (1.142), the degree of t does not depend on l. Therefore, (1.142) is equivalently represented as:

$$\deg_t(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m}) = \|m\|_2 - 2\left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor - 4 \tag{1.143}$$

for any $\{m > 0\} \in N$. Let us evaluate statement (1.143) for a few consecutive values of m:

$$\deg_t(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m})_{m=1} = \left[\|m\|_2 - 2\left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor - 4\right]_{m=1} = 1 - 0 - 4 = -3$$

$$\deg_t(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m})_{m=2} = \left[\|m\|_2 - 2\left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor - 4\right]_{m=2} = 0 - 0 - 4 = -4$$

$$\deg_t(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m})_{m=3} = \left[\|m\|_2 - 2\left\lfloor\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rfloor - 4\right]_{m=3} = 1 - 2 - 4 = -5$$
$$\deg_t(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m})_{m=4} = \left[\|m\|_2 - 2\left\lfloor\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rfloor - 4\right]_{m=4} = 0 - 2 - 4 = -6$$
$$\deg_t(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m})_{m=5} = \left[\|m\|_2 - 2\left\lfloor\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rfloor - 4\right]_{m=5} = 1 - 4 - 4 = -7$$

Based on this, the general form of $\deg_x(\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}\vec{f})$ can be expressed as:

$$\deg_t(\frac{\partial^m f_3}{\partial t^m}) = -m - 2 \tag{1.144}$$

for any $\{m > 0\} \in N$. As per statements (1.121) and (1.144) for t approaching infinity $t \to \infty$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} f_3(\vec{x}, t) = 0 \tag{1.145}$$

for any $\{m > 0\} \in N$. The limit of the m-th order of the partial derivative by t must be equal to zero. Also, when $t = 0$:

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} f_3(\vec{x}, t)|_{t=0} = 0 \tag{1.146}$$

for any $\{m > 0\} \in N$.

Determining $\deg_x(\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}\vec{f})$

Now, beginning with statement (1.121), let us perform the partial differentiation by x :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} f_3(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\rfloor+1} (-1)^{\|\frac{e}{2}\|_2}\frac{t^h H^e}{B^s} \tag{1.147}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} f_3(\vec{x}, t) = \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\rfloor+1} (-1)^{\|\frac{e}{2}\|_2} t^h \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{H^e}{B^s} \tag{1.148}$$

let us expand $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{H^e}{B^s}$ in statement (1.148)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{H^e}{B^s} = \frac{1}{B^{2s}}\left(B^s\frac{\partial}{\partial x}H^e - H^e\frac{\partial}{\partial x}B^s\right) \tag{1.149}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{H^e}{B^s} = \frac{1}{B^{2s}}\left(B^s eH^{e-1}\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} - H^e sB^{s-1}\frac{\partial B}{\partial x}\right) \tag{1.150}$$

applying partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ on H, as defined per (1.107):

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j = 1 \tag{1.151}$$

applying partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ on B as defined per (1.101):

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(1 + t^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j\right)^2) = 2t^2\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j = 2t^2 H \tag{1.152}$$

then applying (1.152) and (1.151) on (1.150):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{H^e}{B^s}=\frac{1}{B^{2s}}\left(B^s eH^{e-1}-H^e sB^{s-1}2t^2H\right) \tag{1.153}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{H^e}{B^s}=\frac{1}{B^{2s}}\left(eB^sH^{e-1}-2st^2B^{s-1}H^{e+1}\right) \tag{1.154}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{H^e}{B^s}=\frac{eH^{e-1}}{B^s}-\frac{2st^2H^{e+1}}{B^{s+1}} \tag{1.155}$$

once (1.155) is applied in (1.148):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}f_3(\vec{x},t)=\sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\rfloor+1}(-1)^{\left\|\frac{e}{2}\right\|_2}\left(e\frac{t^hH^{e-1}}{B^s}-2s\frac{t^{h+2}H^{e+1}}{B^{s+1}}\right) \tag{1.156}$$

Let us determine the degree for x as per statement (1.156).

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}f_3(\vec{x},t))=\deg_x(\sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\rfloor+1}\left(e\frac{t^hH^{e-1}}{B^s}-2s\frac{t^{h+2}H^{e+1}}{B^{s+1}}\right)) \tag{1.157}$$

Let us determine the degree of the first term in the brackets of sum above (1.157). As per definition of H by statement (1.107):

$$\deg_x(H)=\deg_x(\sum_{j=1}^{3}x_j)=1 \tag{1.158}$$

based on (1.158) and the first term of the sum in statement (1.157):

$$\deg_x(\frac{t^hH^{e-1}}{B^s})=\deg_x(\frac{t^hH^e}{B^s})-\deg_x(H)=\deg_x(\frac{t^hH^e}{B^s})-1 \tag{1.159}$$

as per statement (1.134):

$$\deg_x(\frac{t^hH^e}{B^s})=-2 \tag{1.160}$$

therefore

$$\deg_x(\frac{t^hH^{e-1}}{B^s})=\deg_x(\frac{t^hH^e}{B^s})-1=-3 \tag{1.161}$$

Let us analyze the degree of the second term $\frac{t^hH^{e+1}}{B^{s+1}}$ in the brackets of the sum in statement (1.157):

$$\deg_x(\frac{t^hH^{e+1}}{B^{s+1}})=\deg_x(\frac{t^hH^e}{B^s})+\deg_x(H)-\deg_x(B) \tag{1.162}$$

As per definition of B by statement (1.101):

$$\deg_x(B)=\deg_x(1+t^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3}x_j\right)^2)=\deg_x(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3}x_j\right)^2)=2 \tag{1.163}$$

applying (1.163) and (1.158) in (1.162), we can conclude:

$$\deg_x(\frac{t^hH^{e+1}}{B^{s+1}})=\deg_x(\frac{t^hH^e}{B^s})+1-2=-3 \tag{1.164}$$

As per (1.164) and (1.161), we can conclude that both terms within brackets of the sum in statement (1.157) are of same degree -3 for x. In addition, the degree for

x for statement (1.157) is not in function of l as used in the sum of the statement. Based on this, we conclude that the derived degree for x for all of the terms of sum in the statement (1.157) are mutually equal and applicable for the whole statement (1.157):

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}f_3(\vec{x},t)) = -3 \tag{1.165}$$

equivalently, for partial derivatives by x of second degree:

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}f_3(\vec{x},t)) = (\deg_x(\frac{t^h S^e}{B^s}) - 1) - 1 = -4 \tag{1.166}$$

equivalently, for partial derivatives by x of third degree:

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}f_3(\vec{x},t)) = ((\deg_x(\frac{t^h S^e}{B^s}) - 1) - 1) - 1 = -5 \tag{1.167}$$

Based on (1.165),(1.166),(1.167), the general form for the degree of x for any order of the partial derivative α by x can be expressed as

$$\deg_x(\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}f_3(\vec{x},t)) = -\alpha - 2 \tag{1.168}$$

Determining $\deg_t(\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}\vec{f})$

Now that we have determined the degree for x in case of any order $\{\alpha > 0\} \in N$ of the partial derivative by x, and any order $\{m > 0\} \in N$ of the partial derivative by t, let us determine the degree for t as well. Beginning in incremental fashion, let us determine the degree for x, starting from statement (1.156):

$$\deg_t(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}f_3(\vec{x},t)) = \deg_t(\sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1}\left(e\frac{t^h H^{e-1}}{B^s} - 2s\frac{t^{h+2}H^{e+1}}{B^{s+1}}\right)) \tag{1.169}$$

Let us determine the degree of the first term in the brackets of the sum in (1.169). As per definition of H by statement (1.107):

$$\deg_t(H) = \deg_t(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j) = 0 \tag{1.170}$$

based on (1.170) and first term of sum in statement (1.169):

$$\deg_t(\frac{t^h H^{e-1}}{B^s}) = \deg_t(\frac{t^h}{B^s}) + (e-1)\deg_t(H) = \deg_t(\frac{t^h}{B^s}) \tag{1.171}$$

As per definition of B by statement (1.101):

$$\deg_t(B) = \deg_t(1 + t^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j\right)^2) = 2 \tag{1.172}$$

as per (1.172) and (1.171)

(1.173)

$$\deg_t(\frac{t^h H^{e-1}}{B^s}) = \deg_t(\frac{t^h}{B^s}) = \deg_t(t^h) - \deg_t(B^s) = \deg_t(t^h) - s\deg_t(B) = h - 2s$$

once h and s are expanded in (1.173) as per (1.118) and (1.120):

$$\deg_t(\frac{t^h H^{e-1}}{B^s}) = h - 2s = \|m\|_2 + 2(l-1) - 2(\left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor + l + 1) \tag{1.174}$$

$$\deg_t(\frac{t^h H^{e-1}}{B^s}) = h - 2s = \|m\|_2 + 2l - 2 - 2\left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor - 2l - 2 \tag{1.175}$$

$$\deg_t(\frac{t^h H^{e-1}}{B^s}) = h - 2s = \|m\|_2 - 2\left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor - 4 \tag{1.176}$$

Let us evaluate statement (1.176) for a few consecutive values of m:

$$\deg_t(\frac{t^h H^{e-1}}{B^s})_{m=1} = 1 - 0 - 4 = -3$$

$$\deg_t(\frac{t^h H^{e-1}}{B^s})_{m=2} = 0 - 0 - 4 = -4$$

$$\deg_t(\frac{t^h H^{e-1}}{B^s})_{m=3} = 1 - 2 - 4 = -5$$

$$\deg_t(\frac{t^h H^{e-1}}{B^s})_{m=4} = 0 - 2 - 4 = -6$$

$$\deg_t(\frac{t^h H^{e-1}}{B^s})_{m=5} = 1 - 4 - 4 = -7$$

which could be in general form expressed as:

$$\deg_t(\frac{t^h H^{e-1}}{B^s}) = -m - 2 \tag{1.177}$$

Let us determine the degree of the second term in the brackets of the sum in (1.169):

$$\deg_t(2s\frac{t^{h+2} H^{e+1}}{B^{s+1}}) = \deg_t(\frac{t^h H^{e-1}}{B^s}) + \deg_t(\frac{t^2 H^2}{B^1}) \tag{1.178}$$

once (1.177), (1.170) and (1.172) are applied on (1.178):

$$\deg_t(2s\frac{t^{h+2} H^{e+1}}{B^{s+1}}) = -m - 2 + 2 + 0 - 2 = -m - 2 \tag{1.179}$$

As per (1.179) and (1.177), we can conclude that both terms within the brackets of the sum in statement (1.169) are of same degree $-m-2$ for t. In addition, the degree for t is not in function of l used in the sum of statement (1.169). Based on this, we can conclude that the degree derived for t is applicable to the whole statement (1.169):

$$\deg_t(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} f_3(\vec{x}, t)) = -m - 2 \tag{1.180}$$

For each next derivative by x, the same process can be applied. Therefore, the degree of t can be generalized as:

$$\deg_t(\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} f_3(\vec{x}, t)) = -m - 2 \tag{1.181}$$

for any $\{\alpha > 0\} \in N$, $\{m > 0\} \in N$, $\vec{x} \in R^3$. Statements (1.181) and (1.168) can be more compactly expressed as:

$$\deg_x(\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m f_3(\vec{x}, t)) = -\alpha - 2 \tag{1.182}$$

$$\deg_t(\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m f_3(\vec{x},t)) = -m-2 \tag{1.183}$$

as per (1.182) and (1.183):

$$\lim_{|\vec{x}|\to\infty} \partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m f_3(\vec{x},t) = 0 \tag{1.184}$$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m f_3(\vec{x},t) = 0 \tag{1.185}$$

As H is defined as:

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j \tag{1.186}$$

At at the coordinate origin where $x_j = 0; j = \{1,2,3\}$ their sum must be zero $H = 0$. As numerators of each of the resulting terms for the partial derivative $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m f_3(\vec{x},t)$ has to include minimally H to the power of 1, then we can conclude that all resulting terms of the partial derivative $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m f_3(\vec{x},t)$ must be zero at the coordinate origin $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$:

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m f_3(\vec{x},t)|_{\vec{x}=\vec{0}} = 0 \tag{1.187}$$

for any $\{\alpha > 0\} \in N$, $\{m > 0\} \in N$, $\vec{x} \in R^3$. Also, as each of the resulting terms for the partial derivative $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m f_3(\vec{x},t)$ has to include minimally t to the power of 1, then we can conclude that all resulting terms of the partial derivative $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m f_3(\vec{x},t)$ must be zero when $t = 0$:

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m f_3(\vec{x},t)|_{t=0} = 0 \tag{1.188}$$

for any $\{\alpha > 0\} \in N$, $\{m > 0\} \in N$, $\vec{x} \in R^3$. The force vector field $\vec{f}$, as per the theorem definition is:

$$\vec{f} = (0,0,f_3(\vec{x},t)) \tag{1.189}$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $t \geq 0$. Then applying partial derivatives $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m$ on $\vec{f}$:

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m \vec{f} = (0,0,\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m f_3(\vec{x},t)) \tag{1.190}$$

as per (1.190):

$$\left|\vec{f}\right| = f_3(\vec{x},t) \tag{1.191}$$

therefore

$$\left|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m \vec{f}\right| = \partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m f_3(\vec{x},t) \tag{1.192}$$

as per (1.184):

$$\lim_{|\vec{x}|\to\infty} \left|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m \vec{f}\right| = 0 \tag{1.193}$$

also, as per (1.187):

$$\left|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m \vec{f}\right|_{\vec{x}=\vec{0}} = 0 \tag{1.194}$$

Deriving solution for pressure $p(\vec{x},t)$
The Navier-Stokes equation for incompressible fluid is expressed in following form:

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u}\cdot\nabla)\vec{u} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho} + \nu\Delta\vec{u} + \vec{f} \tag{1.195}$$

for $\nabla\cdot\vec{u} = 0$ at any position in space $\vec{x}\in R^3$ and any time $t\geq 0$.
As per statement (1.14) the vector field $\vec{u}$, as defined by this theorem, is divergence free $\nabla\cdot\vec{u} = 0$. Once the fluid velocity vector field $\vec{u}$ and force field $\vec{f}$, as defined in the theorem statement, are applied in the Navier-Stokes equation (1.195), the terms of the Navier-Stokes equation can be expressed in following way:

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} = \vec{0} \tag{1.196}$$

for any $\vec{x}\in R^3$, $t\geq 0$.
Let us define the diffusion term vector field components as

$$(\vec{u}\cdot\nabla)\vec{u} = (c_1, c_2, c_3) \tag{1.197}$$

once $\vec{u}$, as per statement of this theorem, is applied in (1.197), the diffusion term related vector field components c_i are:

$$c_i = 4\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j - 3x_i}{\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2\right)^4} \tag{1.198}$$

for $i=\{1,2,3\}$ for any $\vec{x}\in R^3$, $t\geq 0$.
Let us define the viscosity related term vector field components as

$$\nu\Delta\vec{u} = (l_1, l_2, l_3) \tag{1.199}$$

once $\vec{u}$, as per statement of this theorem, is applied in (1.199), viscosity term related vector field components l_i are:

$$l_i = 8\nu\frac{d_i\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2+1\right)-6\right)}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2+1\right)^4} \tag{1.200}$$

for $i=\{1,2,3\}$ and any $\vec{x}\in R^3$, $t\geq 0$.
Let us express $\frac{\nabla p}{\rho}$ in form of the vector field components as:

$$\frac{\nabla p}{\rho} = (g_1, g_2, g_3) = \vec{g} \tag{1.201}$$

Terms of the Navier-Stokes equation (1.195) and as per (1.201), can be rearranged in following way:

$$\vec{g} = \frac{\nabla p}{\rho} = \nu\Delta\vec{u} + \vec{f} - \frac{\partial\vec{u}}{\partial t} - (\vec{u}\cdot\nabla)\vec{u} \tag{1.202}$$

Applying (1.196), (1.198), (1.200) and $\vec{f}(\vec{x},t)$, as per the statement of this theorem in (1.202), the resulting vector field components of $\vec{g} = \frac{\nabla p}{\rho}$ are:

(1.203)

$$g_i = \frac{8\nu d_i\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2+1\right)-6\right) - 4\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j - 3x_i\right)}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j^2+1\right)^4} + \frac{I(i)}{\left(1+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_j\right)^2\right)(1+t)}$$

for $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$ where $I(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & ; n = 3 \\ 0 & ; n \neq 3 \end{cases}$
Once g_1 is integrated by x_1, the resulting pressure is:

$$p(\vec{x},t) = 4\frac{12\nu x_1x_2 - x_1x_2 - 12\nu x_1x_3 - x_1x_3 - 2x_2^2 - 2x_3^2 - 2}{6\left(x_2^2+x_3^2+1\right)\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i\right)^3} - \tag{1.204}$$

$$4\frac{x_1\left(12\nu x_2^3 - 12\nu x_2^2x_3 - 48\nu x_2 + 12\nu x_2x_3^2 + 5x_2 - 12\nu x_3^3 + 48\nu x_3 + 5x_3\right)}{16\left(x_2^2+x_3^2+1\right)^3\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i\right)} -$$

$$4\frac{x_1\left(12\nu x_2^3 - 12\nu x_2^2x_3 - 48\nu x_2 + 12\nu x_2x_3^2 + 5x_2 - 12\nu x_3^3 + 48\nu x_3 + 5x_3\right)}{24\left(x_2^2+x_3^2+1\right)^2\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i\right)^2} +$$

$$4\frac{\left(-12\nu x_2^3 + 12\nu x_2^2x_3 + 48\nu x_2 - 12\nu x_2x_3^2 - 5x_2 + 12\nu x_3^3 - 48\nu x_3 - 5x_3\right) ArcTan\left(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{x_2^2+x_3^2+1}}\right)}{16\left(x_2^2+x_3^2+1\right)^{7/2}} +$$

$$C(y,z) + C$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $t \geq 0$. Once g_2 is integrated byx_2, the pressure is:

$$p(\vec{x},t) = -\frac{2\left(2x_1^2 + 12\nu x_1x_2 + x_1x_2 - 12\nu x_2x_3 + x_2x_3 + 2x_3^2 + 2\right)}{3\left(x_1^2+x_3^2+1\right)\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i\right)^3} + \tag{1.205}$$

$$\frac{x_2\left(12\nu x_1^3 - 12\nu x_1^2x_3 - 48\nu x_1 + 12\nu x_1x_3^2 - 5x_1 - 12\nu x_3^3 + 48\nu x_3 - 5x_3\right)}{4\left(x_1^2+x_3^2+1\right)^3\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i\right)} +$$

$$\frac{x_2\left(12\nu x_1^3 - 12\nu x_1^2x_3 - 48\nu x_1 + 12\nu x_1x_3^2 - 5x_1 - 12\nu x_3^3 + 48\nu x_3 - 5x_3\right)}{6\left(x_1^2+x_3^2+1\right)^2\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i\right)^2} +$$

$$\frac{\left(12\nu x_1^3 - 12\nu x_1^2x_3 - 48\nu x_1 + 12\nu x_1x_3^2 - 5x_1 - 12\nu x_3^3 + 48\nu x_3 - 5x_3\right) ArcTan\left(\frac{x_2}{\sqrt{x_1^2+x_3^2+1}}\right)}{4\left(x_1^2+x_3^2+1\right)^{7/2}} +$$

$$C(x,z) + C$$

for any $\vec{x} \in R^3$, $t \geq 0$. Once g_3 is integrated by x_3, the pressure is:

$$p(\vec{x},t) = \frac{\tan^{-1}(t(x_1+x_2+x_3))}{t} + \tag{1.206}$$

$$\frac{2\left(-2x_1^2 + 12\nu x_1x_3 - x_1x_3 - 2x_2^2 - 12\nu x_2x_3 - x_2x_3 - 2\right)}{3\left(x_1^2+x_2^2+1\right)\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i\right)^3} -$$

$$\frac{x_3\left(12\nu x_1^3 - 12\nu x_1^2x_2 - 48\nu x_1 + 12\nu x_1x_2^2 + 5x_1 - 12\nu x_2^3 + 48\nu x_2 + 5x_2\right)}{4\left(x_1^2+x_2^2+1\right)^3\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i\right)} -$$

$$\frac{x_3\left(12\nu x_1^3 - 12\nu x_1^2x_2 - 48\nu x_1 + 12\nu x_1x_2^2 + 5x_1 - 12\nu x_2^3 + 48\nu x_2 + 5x_2\right)}{6\left(x_1^2+x_2^2+1\right)^2\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i\right)^2} +$$

$$\frac{\left(-12\nu x_1^3+12\nu x_1^2x_2+48\nu x_1-12\nu x_1x_2^2-5x_1+12\nu x_2^3-48\nu x_2-5x_2\right)ArcTan\left(\frac{x_3}{\sqrt{x_1^2+x_2^2+1}}\right)}{4\left(x_1^2+x_2^2+1\right)^{7/2}}+$$
$$C(x,y)+C$$

for any $\vec{x}\in R^3$, $t\geq 0$. Each of the statements (1.204), (1.205) and (1.206) represent the solution for presure $p(\vec{x},t)$. All three results are mutually different. In addition to that, the first term in statement (1.206) is:

$$\frac{ArcTan(t(x_1+x_2+x_3))}{t} \tag{1.207}$$

which at the point in time $t=0$, once applied in (1.207), results with

$$\frac{ArcTan\left(0\right)}{0}=\frac{0}{0} \tag{1.208}$$

which cannot be determined for any $\vec{x}\in R^3$ at $t=0$.
Based on the three mutually different resulting equations for pressure (1.204), (1.205) and (1.206), one of which (1.206) incudes the term (1.208), which cannot be determined at any position $\vec{x}\in R^3$ at $t=0$, we can conclude that the Navier-Stokes equation for incompressible fluid, for the velocity vector field $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ and the external force related vector field $\vec{f}(\vec{x},t)$, as specified by the statement of this theorem, does not have solution at any position in space $\vec{x}\in R^3$ at $t=0$, which proves this theorem. □

2. Discussion

Results of the analysis performed, demonstrate and prove that there exists $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ and $\vec{f}(\vec{x},t)$ smooth vector fields, such that the Navier-Stokes equation for incompressible fluid does not have solution for any position in R^3 space at $t=0$. Such a result strongy indicates that Navier-Stokes equation for incompressible fluid has to be better understood, in order to determine the root causes of obtained results.



Velocity vector field for single scalar velocity

As is well known, many fluids are not very compressible. Therefore, incompressability as a mathematical approximation might appear as a logical and reasonable approach for simplifying the mathematical modeling of fluid behavior over space and time, however, the interpretation of **physical reasonability**, as referred to by the Clay Mathematics Institutes official existence and smoothness of the Navier-Stokes equation problem statement, implicitly includes the understanding that such mathematical model(s) must be in alignment with the recognized laws of physics.
All material bodies, as per the laws of physics, are to some degree compressible, regardless how small such incompressibility is. On the other hand, incompressibility, mathematically, does not allow for compressibility at all. The question is if such behavior of incompressible fluids mathematically modelled and included in the form of the incompressibility condition, represents behavior which cannot be supported by recognized laws of physics.

The hypothesis, which could be of use to be further explored, is that fluid incompressibility as an mathematical approximation may be beyond the boundaries of what is physically reasonable on a macroscopic scale in conjunction with the recognized laws of physics. If so, this might account for the difficulties of obtaining unreasonable fluid velocities and blow-ups, which would be worth exploring further.

References

[1] Arfken, G., *Mathematical Methods for Physicists, seventh edition.* Academic Press, 2013

[2] Burger Martin, *Numerical Methods for Incompressible Flows* UCLA ftp://ftp.math.ucla.edu/pub/camreport/cam04-12.pdf

[3] Evans C. Lawrence, *Partial Differential Equations* American Mathematical Society, Volume 19

[4] Cannone Marco and Friedlander Susan *Navier: Blow-up and Collapse* American Mathematical Society, January 2003 http://www.ams.org/notices/200301/fea-friedlander.pdf

[5] Fefferman Charles L., *Existence and Smoothness of Navier-Stokes Equation.* Clay Mathematics Institute, www.claymath.org/sites/default/files/navierstokes.pdf

[6] Kaplan, W., *Advanced Calculus, 4th ed.* Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1991.

[7] Newton Isac, *Philosophiae Naturalis Principia Mathematica.* http://cudl.lib.cam.ac.uk/view/PR-ADV-B-00039-00001/9, July-05-1686

[8] Riley, Hobson, Benice *Mathematical Methods for Physics and Engineering, 3rd ed.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006

[9] *Solution methods for the Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations* Standord University https://web.stanford.edu/class/me469b/handouts/incompressible.pdf

[10] Sommerfeld, A., *Partial Differential Equations in Physics. Academic Press.* New York 1964.

[11] Taylor, M. E., *Partial Differential Equations, Vol. 1: Basic Theory.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

[12] Taylor, M. E., *Partial Differential Equations, Vol. 2: Qualitative Studies of Linear Equations.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

[13] Taylor, M. E., *Partial Differential Equations, Vol. 3: Nonlinear Equations. Springer-Verlag.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

[14] Wolfram Alfa, *Computational Knowledge Engine* http://www.wolframalpha.com

[15] Zwillinger, D, *Handbook of Differential Equations, 3rd ed.* Academic Press, Boston, 1997.

[16] *Wolfram Mathematica file with relevant derivations* http://ateravis.com/owncloud/index.php/s/JTs1ZX2D0Jwum9O

21 Neilson Drive Toronto, M9C 1V3 Canada, orcid.org/0000-0003-2214-7380

E-mail address: kodza@yahoo.com